

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/788,439	FOREMAN ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. DeLuca (Atty).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 September 2005
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1160 and 1293

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. DeLuca agreed to put limitation of the conveyor which carries the mold assemblies through the first and second curing units into independent claim 1160; claim 1293 was amended to be in conformance with this amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SRP Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)